

To Maine Court (District)
State of Maine / Municipality of Lincoln

v
Xj Digger

(NOTE: This is not me a Man)

(NOTE: Who I Am Xj Digger Sui Juris)

For UNIFORM SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

#2662261 **29-A §351. Registration required**

#2946528 **29-A §2412-A. Operating while license suspended or revoked**

*I Xj Digger Sui Juris (hereafter referred to as "I" or "me") give notice to vacate void judgement for the Case Docket # LINC-CR-14-179 held in the State of Maine District Court Location in Lincoln, by the authority of me as one of the people of Maine who holds higher authority over any government servant according to Article I Section 2 of the Maine Constitution.

* Reminding all servants who give their oath to God and the people, that the Maine government operates by the consent of the people as ordained and established by the people.

* The above mentioned case docket judgment is void for the following independent reasons:

- Due to **Lack of Jurisdiction and Venue of the court** which is of the territory of the United States or District of Columbia, which is out side of my Jurisdiction and Venue as **Xj Digger Sui Juris** who is the authority of the Constitutional Government of the free and independent state of Maine.
- There was **no consent given to this court by me** to proceed because there was no evidence of any jurisdiction of this court to judge upon my natural inherent and unalienable rights.
- There was no evidence of any constitutionally authorized law violation presented to the court for examination.
- There was also evidence of malicious prosecution and **Failure of Due process of law**.

* **I give Notice to vacate this void judgement** which lacked jurisdiction, either of the subject matter or the parties.

* **The judgement from this court is void on its face** due to the lack of due process of law and lack of jurisdiction.

* The above mentioned case docket judgement is void because I am not a US Citizen which I identified in the arraignment of this case docket. It is self evident truth that I am a living breathing Man with a soul who lives in the chartered town of Lincoln, Maine as I have consistently identified in my notices, which no other man has the authority to make undisclosed assumptions on who I am.

* The above mentioned case docket judgement is void because the Maine Revised Statute is not my law and I don't reside in that jurisdiction. I gave notice that the Maine Revised Statute is not my law in my notice to the court.

- **The Maine Revised Statutes is not my Law.** The drivers license laws are not valid in the State of Maine as ordained by people. The Original Constitutional Jurisdiction of the State of Maine is limited by the constitution ordained by the People to have Legislative authority only to defend and benefit the enumerated rights of the people, no other authority was granted to the State of Maine. The state of Maine was not granted Sovereign rights because the state only was granted limited jurisdiction so therefor has no sovereign powers to make any kind of rule that the legislature may enact. It is simply stated that no laws can be made that is repugnant to the constitution.

- **The Maine Revised Statutes violates the Constitution of Maine** as ordained by the people in 1820 and specifically states that the jurisdiction and venue of the State of Maine is of the territories of the District of Columbia. This violates the original Constitution for the united States of America in:

Article IV Section 3 - New States May be admitted by the Congress into this Union; but no new State shall be formed or erected within the Jurisdiction of any other State; nor or parts of States, without the consent of the Legislature of the State concerned as well as of the Congress

* The above mentioned case docket judgement is void because the **Maine Revised Statute is not my law** and I don't reside in that jurisdiction. The Maine Revised Statute is not the law of the people of Maine as I have disclosed in my notices to this court, it is the law of persons who I am not. I am not a person by any definitions found in the Maine Revised Statute but most importantly I am not a Person because the laws of god says that would be a sin in **James 2:9** "*But if ye regard the persons, ye commit sin, and are rebuked of the Law, as transgressors.*"

* The above mentioned case docket judgement is void because **there was no business before the court.**

- When the court record lacks a **verified affidavit**, a **verified complaint** or **arrest warrant** with a **sworn affidavit**, there is no constitutional valid business before the court.

- I motion you to take mandatory judicial notice that there is no verified complaint and no affidavit on record in this case therefore there is no business before this court to make any determinations and this court in this instance case, lacks subject matter and personam jurisdiction let alone territorial jurisdictional...I moved this case to be vacated.

* The above mentioned case docket judgement is void because **any court to hear bogus fraudulent charges** are voidable under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 60. The charges presented by the district attorney were not verified or sworn to by oath or affirmation, therefor they are bogus fraudulent charges that operate in classic violation of due process of constitutional law. Such court must forfeit any perceived jurisdiction any rulings rendered must be voided.

* **I challenged jurisdiction of this court** and had also properly wrote out my claim and defense wanting the jurisdiction challenge to be answered and the judge without proper procedure, without motion made before the court and disrespected my right under Maine Constitution Article I Section 19 to a claim and the judge dismissed my Notice to the Court. Also Just saying you have jurisdiction is not proof of jurisdiction. It is well established that when jurisdiction is questioned or challenged it must be proven. Therefore the Court never proved jurisdiction on public record and could not proceed until the jurisdiction challenge was established which renders the above mentioned case docket judgement is void.

* The judge also made statements that I had to make **proper motions at the same standards as a lawyer.** This statement is not a subject of law and invalid for several reasons. I'm a Man, one of the People of Maine who has the ordainment rights of the constitution and that is living under the common law and lawyers are not educated in the constitution or common law and that lawyer is not qualified to represent me and neither can I speak his language.

* As a judge who represents the state justice system, he being a lawyer and untrained in common law and the constitution is also not qualified to sit on a bench to defend or benefit my rights or judge whether or not I violated another mans right or property. Rulings in courts of America has many times recognized that the people rights are liberally interpreted. You may not recognize this which places you in prejudice against the people and are not of sobriety of manners and do not qualify to be a judge on the American Courts.

* **I am not to be held at any standards of a lawyer**, being that a lawyer is educated in administrative law while the law of the constitution is common law and not trained in the law schools. I believe that my position was well stated in my papers filed to this court. My Language is common English, I do not write or speak in lawyer speak or legalese. I speak my own language and interpreted in my own common mans language and shall not be interpreted in legal mis direction of meanings. If there is a conflict of what my definition of words is thou shall ask for clarification without making assumptions.

* I stated several times about **my objection on the Jurisdiction of this court** proceedings. Once Jurisdiction is challenged the court cannot proceed until the jurisdiction is established. It is a requirement of the prosecutor to prove the Jurisdiction and my filing which is part of this case addresses the lack of jurisdiction.

* **I asked the judge to confirm that he and the prosecutor has sworn** an oath to support the constitution, which he said he was not going to answer foolish questions and he refused to confirm or deny. This was to ensure that constitutional due process of law is upheld in the court. This refusal assumed that either he did not take an oath or he has taken an oath and will refuse to abide by it

* If the judge or prosecutor did not take an oath or he and/or they have taken an oath and will refuse to abide by it, he and/or they rendered the court constitutionally defective, incompetent and devoid of justice devoid of due process of law, therefor invalid because such a court forfeits any perceived jurisdiction.

* I am entitled to due process of law of the constitution and by not being assured that my rights are going to be fairly defended by a court that respects the constitutional law of the land I cannot consent to a government organization that does not act like a constitutional respecting organization and therefore withhold my consent from such a process.

* **The government serves by the consent of the people.** I do not consent to an un-constitutional defective court devoid of jurisdiction attempting to adjudicate a constitutional issue when the court is completely avoiding constitutional responsibility whatsoever.

* I therefore made the **statement that I do not consent** to this court procedure.

- It was plain as day that this court was prejudicing me as a common man and had full intent on prosecuting me for a violation of my natural inherent and unalienable right to travel freely as a free and independent man that this State is organized to defend and benefit.
- It is plain as day that this court has no intention of allowing an argument on the law of the land but proceed as a Star Chamber Court that operates outside of the common law and controlled to disrespect the rights of the people.
- This court called my Name I came forward to address my concerns about the violations of my rights
- The court proceeded to address prosecution without establishing the parties or the laws established by the constitution.
- I therefore announced that I do not consent to this court procedure being that it its only intent was to prosecute a law that is repugnant to the constitution.

With all these issues stated and whereby this court gave no appearance that it operates by the common law of the constitutions which I **Xj Digger** Sui Juris, one of the people of Maine demand as a right of the constitutional law of the land, I do not give my consent to this fraudulent court proceeding and **give notice to vacate void judgement** for the Case Docket # LINC-CR-14-179 held in the State of Maine District Court Location in Lincoln,

This is my answer by **Xj Digger**, Sui Juris, is complete factual knowledge to the best of my ability.

September 2, 2014

Xj Digger, Sui Juris